"HARBOR VIEW ATTICKED TODAY
Assiry, Who Was Confacettal Nes and
Fliess Seresary 1 or Frait, Nes
That he lates Has Not Faished His
Obligations.

Thomas H. Pratt, who acquired considerable farms while pursuing his
totic and the became lovolved, has
just been made the defendant in a
just teen made the defendant in a
function is which be became lovolved, has
just teen made the defendant in a
function by his former secretary and mamater of artists in littlestion, George
Liveryman Audicy of Addaton
Sirset, lie has been associated with Hr.
Green the secretary and the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary of the secretary
to the secretary of the secretary of

AUDLEY SUES FOR SERVICES
Thomas M. Frett of Clinton Defendant in
Action For \$6,790.

pute that occurred two months ago Mr. Auddy left the service of Sr. Pratts Auddy left the service of Sr. Pratts at the put of the service of Sr. Pratts at the put of the difficulties. When this reemed to be out of the question Audey, through a service of the put of the pratts of the put of the pu

tast in the direction of Mr. Pratt, Audley was kept husy distributing baim from Mr. Pratt's wallet. His success in this work won for him a permanent position in Mr. Pratt's employ and when the latter west to Clinton and

trotting stock belonging to Mr. Pratt. The case is returneble to the Superior Court. Audiev's counsel this morning declined to discuss the case. Friends of Audiey say that the suit is the outcome of a dispute between Audier and Pratt over the settlement with Audiev for his services in adjusting the Illiation of several years ing the Illiation of several years in

for his work but that he (the latter) never received it and the sailt is brought to recover the amount promised by Frait, the legal proceeding being precipliated by the fact that Frait and Audiey have been at loggerheads.